

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE brited States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSYONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/844,925	04/26/2001		Richard Mark Levenberg	PALM-3542.US.P 8281		
49637	7590	08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
		IATES P.C.	SHINGLES, KRISTIE D			
9255 SUNSI SUITE 810	ET BOOL	EVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90069				2141	2141	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/844,925	LEVENBERG, RICHARD MARK					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kristie Shingles	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths.</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	_	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will givit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
		KDS/20060804					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the cited prior art of record fail to teach the amended claim limitation of: "searching said web page for more than one textual references to images...". Examiner respectfully disagrees, Huang (2002/0133569) teaches that more than one textual reference is made for a found item of a webpage and transcoded, in order to form an item list that links items to the item page via a URL (paragraph 0038). Furthermore Hawkins (2001/0032254) teach fetching, compressing, passing and rendering images into HTML data objects (paragraphs 0248-0250 and page 29 IMG Table section). Thus the prior art teaches the allowance for there being more than one textual reference to images on a webpage, therefore Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive and the rejections under the cited prior art are maintained.

MINER DHARIA

C 7 1